

# **Current Issues in Education**

Mary Lou Fulton Teachers College • Arizona State University PO Box 37100, Phoenix, AZ 85069, USA

Volume 14, Number 2

June 4, 2011

ISSN 1099-839X

Is there a Relationship between Body Mass Index, Fitness, and Academic Performance? Mixed Results from Students in a Southeastern United States Elementary School

> Robert J. Wingfield Joseph P.H. McNamara David M. Janicke University of Florida

## Paulo A. Graziano Florida International University

The purpose of this study was to investigate relationships between body mass index (BMI), physical fitness, and academic performance in elementary school students. Specifically, BMI and scores on the President's Challenge Physical Activity and Fitness Awards Program, a physical fitness test, were compared to reading and mathematics scores on the Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), a standardized norm-referenced academic achievement measure. Participants included 132 4th and 5th grade students from a k-12 school located in North Central Florida. Results revealed that BMI and physical fitness were correlated with academic performance for 5th grade females. In addition, there was a significant and negative association found between BMI and physical fitness across grade level and sex.

Keywords: obesity, overweight, physical education, President's Challenge, FCAT, fitness

The association between physical fitness and academic performance has received understandable attention in response to the growing prevalence of children who are overweight and out-of-shape (Ogden, Carroll, McDowell, Tabak, & Flegal, 2006), as well as demands placed on schools to produce students who meet academic standards (Castelli, Hillman, Buck, & Erwin, 2007). A potential relationship of fitness to cognitive functioning may be explained by both physiological and psychological mechanisms (Chomitz, Slining, McGowan, Mitchell, Dawson, & Hacker, 2009) as physical activity stimulates neural development (Studenski, Carlson, Fillit, Greenough, Kramer, & Rebok, 2006), enhances circulation, increases blood flow to the brain, and raises levels of norepinephrine and endorphins-which collectively may decrease stress, improve mood, stimulate a calming effect after exercise, and as a result possibly improve academic performance (Taras, 2005; Fleshner, 2000; & Morgan, 1994). Yet, despite conceivable connections between physical fitness and academic outcomes, physical education (PE) in US schools is diminishing as emphasis on high-stakes tests increases (Rentner, Scott, & Kobert, 2007). **Physical Education** 

According to the National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, only 1 in 3 students participate in daily PE classes (NCCDPHP, 2010).

In addition, nationwide research suggests that few schools provide daily PE or its equivalent for all grade levels throughout the school year. For example, only 3.8% of elementary schools (excluding kindergarten), 7.9% of middle schools, and 2.1% of high schools provide daily PE throughout the year for all students (Kann, Brener, & Wechsler, 2007). This issue is gaining in popularity as the identification of the effects of physical fitness, and its components, on cognitive functioning could aid school administrators in the decision-making process (Castelli et al., 2007), particularly decisions related to the amount of time students should spend in core academic instruction versus PE. Thus, the matter of whether physical fitness has a meaningful impact on students' academic performance must adequately be explicated. Despite a number of nationwide health initiatives to reduce childhood obesity by promoting healthy lifestyle behaviors, the diminution of PE in schools sends a resounding message to stakeholders that students' physical fitness has little to no bearing on their academic performance. The discounting of PE may inadvertently occur, due in part to The No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB, 2001) which mandated that schools evaluate the academic performance of all students *not* the physical fitness of all students, so it is easy to understand why many school administrators routinely place PE on the chopping block in favor of more mathematics, language arts, and science instruction. If compelling and overwhelming research existed showing a significant positive relationship between physical fitness and academic performance most administrators would likely place more emphasis on improving students' fitness. Unfortunately, research on the relationship between physical fitness and academic performance remains unclear. Gender & Age

Given the substantial influence that gender and age have on children's educational experience (Cushner, McClelland, & Stafford, 2009), it is important to consider and control for these variables when conducting research that examines academic outcomes. Obesity, for example, is associated with decreased college enrollment particularly for females (Rimm, 2004), which suggests that females incur harsher educational repercussions for being overweight. In addition, overweight girls are more likely to experience greater social isolation and emotional distress compared to overweight boys (Faulkner, Neumark-Sztainer, Story, Jeffery, Beuhring, & Resnick, 2001). They also endure more relational victimization and suffer from less supportive and more antagonistic friendships (Pearce, Boergers, & Prinstein, 2002). On the other hand, larger boys are more likely to be praised for their size especially in the context of sports such as football in which bigger equals better.

Furthermore, boys and girls generally have different rates of growth and maturation that have important implications from a physiological standpoint. It is generally accepted that puberty begins earlier for girls – often between 9 and 13 years of age while boys begin the process a year or more later in life (American Academy of Pediatrics, 1996). For many youth, puberty marks a period of doubt and challenges as they must deal with variations in hormone production that influence mood. Research indicates that depression-related differences in negative affect are especially pronounced for pubertal girls (Forbes, Williamson, Ryan, & Dahle, 2006). Taken together, these patterns could result in notable differences in how boys and girls across ages and weight statuses perform in school. **The Literature** 

Five studies were identified as being especially germane to this study. Chomitz et al. (2009) examined relationships between physical fitness and academic performance in diverse, urban public school children enrolled in 4<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, and 8<sup>th</sup> grade. Academic performance was assessed as a passing score on the Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS) achievement tests in mathematics and in English. Physical fitness was assessed as the number of physical fitness tests passed during PE class. Specifically, students completed an endurance cardiovascular test, an abdominal strength test, a flexibility test, an upper body strength test, and an agility test. These tests were adapted from the Amateur Athletic Union and FITNESSGRAM guidelines. Results revealed that the odds of passing both the MCAS Mathematics test and the MCAS English test increased as the number of fitness tests passed increased. Castelli et al. (2007) examined the relationship between physical fitness and academic performance in public school students enrolled in the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> grade. Participants completed the Progressive Aerobic Cardiovascular Endurance Run (PACER) to assess aerobic capacity and later completed push-ups and curl-ups to measure muscular strength. In addition, participants completed the back-saver sit and reach test to measure flexibility. Finally, participants completed the Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) in mathematics and reading to measure academic performance. Results revealed that field tests of physical fitness were positively related to academic performance in mathematics and reading. Specifically, aerobic capacity was positively associated with academic performance. Furthermore, there were significant effects for body mass index (BMI), indicating that lower BMI and higher aerobic fitness were positively related to mathematics achievement. Similarly, there were significant effects for BMI, and the PACER indicating that lower BMI and higher aerobic fitness were positively related to reading achievement. Grissom (2005) also evaluated the relationship between physical fitness and academic performance of students enrolled in  $5^{\text{th}}$ ,  $7^{\text{th}}$ , and  $9^{\text{th}}$  grade. Scores on the FITNESSGRAM, a physical fitness test that measures aerobic capacity, body composition, curl-ups, trunk lifts, upper body strength, and flexibility were compared to reading and mathematics scores on the Stanford

Achievement Test 9<sup>th</sup> (SAT/9) edition. Results revealed that when the overall physical fitness test score was compared to mean SAT/9 reading and mathematics scores, there was a consistent positive relationship between physical fitness and academic performance. This relationship appeared to be stronger for females than males and stronger for higher socioeconomic status (SES) than lower SES students. While Kaestner and Grossman (2009) did not examine the relationship between physical fitness and academic performance, they did investigate the relationship between body weight and academic performance. Given weight's indubitable association with physical fitness, the

study is considered to be relevant to the current investigation. In their study, the Peabody Individual Achievement Tests in mathematics and reading, and grade attainment were utilized to represent academic performance. Results suggested that, in general, children who were overweight or obese had academic test scores that were about the same as children with average weight.

Tremblay, Inman, and Willms (2001) examined the relationship between children's self- reported levels of physical activity and reading and mathematics scores of 6<sup>th</sup> Students' test scores in reading and grade students. mathematics were based on standardized tests administered by the New Brunswick Department of Education. The measure of physical activity was based on four questions regarding students' regular participation in physical activities. Although, physical activity and physical fitness are different constructs the study is deemed to be applicable since most physical activity guidelines consider fitness a surrogate measure of physical activity (Myers et al., 2004). Results revealed that physical activity had a trivial negative relationship with academic performance. Specifically, a one unit increase on the activity scale was associated with a reduction in test scores of 2-3% of a standard deviation.

Altogether, the research on this topic is mixed at best warranting additional investigation and elucidation. Furthermore, it appears that one of the most popular fitness tests, The President's Challenge Physical Activity and Fitness Awards Program (The President's Challenge), has not been utilized in previous investigations to measure students' physical fitness. Unlike other fitness tools such as FITNESSGRAM, the essential components of The President's Challenge are free or relatively inexpensive so the findings of this study may be more intriguing to many schools that cannot afford other fitness programs. In addition, few studies have utilized both academic and fitness raw scores to analyze student data. Raw scores have added value because they are more sensitive to variations in performance and can capture smaller differences (Flippo & Caverely, 2009). Finally, previous research on this topic has seemingly neglected the southeastern region of the United States. This is a significant omission since trends in childhood obesity are not constant across the nation. For instance, a recently identified cluster of states in the southeastern portion of the country, referred to as the "diabetes belt," generates a significantly higher prevalence of diabetes and obesity than other parts of the country (Barker, Kirtland, Gregg, Geiss, & Thompson, 2011). Therefore, it is important to study all regions rather than make broad and potentially inaccurate generalizations based on a few.

#### **Purpose of the Study**

The purpose of this study was to examine relationships between BMI, physical fitness, and academic performance in 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> grade children. Specifically, the researchers sought to identify the relative contribution of BMI and physical fitness as correlates of academic performance in the study's sample. Unlike many previous investigations, the strength of the association between fitness and academic performance was examined by using both fitness and academic test's raw scores as continuous variables rather than dichotomized pass/fail factors. Additionally, the current study used fitness measures, procedures, and norms from The President's Challenge which has been understudied in comparison to its counterparts. Finally, the data were collected from students attending a school located in the southeastern region of the United Studies which helps fill the demographic void that exists in the current literature. It was hypothesized that increased BMI and low physical fitness would be associated with poor academic performance across grade level and gender.

Methods

### Participants

The setting for the study was a k-12 school located in North Central Florida. This cross-sectional study included data on 132 children enrolled in 4th (n=66; 52%) males) and 5<sup>th</sup> (n=66; 50% males) grade. The racial makeup of the sample was as follows: 44% White; 23% Hispanic; 22% Black; 7% mixed; 3% Asian; and 1% Native American. Gender (male/female), race/ethnicity (Asian, Black, Hispanic, and White), and SES were extracted from the school administration record system. The annual household income was as follows: 30% = \$97,750 or more; 22% = \$69,000 to 97,749; 24% = \$39,250 to 68,999; and 24% = \$0 to 39,249. A certified teacher in the PE Department annually measures students' height, weight, and physical fitness during PE class with students from kindergarten to eighth grade. Based on the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) age norms, children whose BMI z-score based on age and gender were greater than the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile were classified as obese (n = 20,15%), children whose BMI were between the 85<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile were classified as overweight/at-risk for overweight (n = 19, 14%), and children whose BMI were between the 6<sup>th</sup> and 84<sup>th</sup> percentile were classified as normal weight (n = 82, 62%). Three children (2%) had a BMI < 6<sup>th</sup> percentile and were excluded from the analyses to control for outlier effects (Hodge & Austin, 2004). For the purposes of this study, school record data that included

BMI, physical fitness, and academic test scores for students who were enrolled in 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> grade during the 2008-2009 academic year were used. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Behavioral/Nonmedical Institutional Review Board (IRB-02) of a southeastern university.

#### **Data Collection**

Physical fitness data were gathered from a series of fitness tests conducted by the PE teacher during regularly scheduled PE periods in March and April of 2009 as students completed physical fitness tests in five domains (curl-ups, shuttle run, one mile run/walk, pull-ups, flexed-arm hang, and V-sit reach) adapted from The President's Challenge. Fitness scores are based on the 1985 School Population Fitness Survey. The PE teacher and students followed The President's Challenge Program Manual to maintain objectivity in scoring. The President's Challenge recommends partner assistance in test administration and research indicates that it possesses acceptable reliability based on both instructor scores ( $R_{c1} = .95$ ,  $R_{d Total} = .73$ ; Killman & Barfield, 2008).

Curl-ups, a movement similar to sit-ups, were completed to measure abdominal strength and endurance by recording the maximum number of curl-ups performed in one minute. The PE teacher provided general guidelines and monitored students during each fitness test. For example during curl-ups, "bouncing" off the floor was not permitted. The shuttle run was completed to measure quickness and agility, and times were recorded to the nearest tenth of a second. The one mile run/walk was completed to measure cardiorespiratory fitness/endurance, and times were recorded in minutes and seconds. Pull-ups were completed to measure upper body strength and endurance. Recorded scores indicate the number of pullups that participants completed under non-timed conditions. Flexed-arm hang was also completed to measure upper body strength and endurance. To record a valid score students assumed the flex-arm hang position, held their chin over the bar, kept their chest close to the bar, and allowed their legs to hang straight. Timing was stopped when the student's chin touched or fell below the bar. The V-sit reach was completed to measure flexibility of the lower back and hamstrings by reaching forward in the V-position. То obtain a valid score, students were required to keep their legs straight while keeping the soles of their feet positioned perpendicular to the floor. Students were encouraged to reach slowly rather than "bounce" while stretching. V-sit reach scores were recorded to the nearest half-inch and are read as plus scores for reaches beyond baseline; and minus scores indicate reaches that were performed behind baseline. Weight status was assessed by BMI z scores based on height and weight measurements collected by the PE teacher in March and April of 2009. Height was measured to the nearest .25 inch and body weight was measured to the nearest 1/2 pound in light indoor clothing without shoes. BMI z scores were calculated and categorized based on CDC protocol. For descriptive purposes, BMI-for-age percentiles for boys and girls were constructed using the CDC and Prevention/National Center for Health Statistics growth charts and categorized as: obese ( $\geq$ 95<sup>th</sup> percentile), overweight (between  $\geq$ 85<sup>th</sup> and  $\leq$ 95<sup>th</sup> percentile), normal weight (between  $\geq$ 5<sup>th</sup> and 85<sup>th</sup>), and underweight ( $\leq$ 5<sup>th</sup> percentile).

Academic performance was measured using the Florida Comprehensive Assessment Tests (FCAT), which annually tests all students across the state, including students with disabilities and students with limited English proficiency. The FCAT was administered in March of 2009 by teachers in classrooms. As mandated by the Education Reform Law of 1993 and the federal NCLB Law from 2001, all students educated with public funds are required to participate in the FCAT administered in their grades. The FCAT is part of Florida's overall plan to increase student achievement by implementing higher standards. The FCAT, administered to students in Grades 3-11, consists of norm-referenced tests in reading and mathematics, which compares the achievement of Florida students with that of their peers nationwide; and criterionreferenced tests in reading, mathematics, science, and writing, which measure student progress toward meeting the Sunshine State Standards (SSS) benchmarks. FCAT raw scores in reading and mathematics range from 86 to 3008. These scores were used to interpret academic performance results.

Data Analytic Plan. Preliminary analyses were conducted to determine the normative distribution of each variable and to examine whether there were any statistically significant associations between demographic variables (i.e., sex, age, race, or SES), BMI, physical fitness, and academic performance. In addition, a principal component factor analysis was conducted to determine if the six fitness tasks loaded into a single fitness factor. As part of the primary analyses, we first conducted a univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine whether fitness levels differentiated children classified as overweight, obese, and normal weight. Correlational and regression analyses were then performed to examine whether fitness and BMI were related to academic performance. Separate correlational and regression analyses were computed for each grade given that the standardized academic test was different per grade.

#### Results

### Preliminary Analysis

**Descriptive statistics**. In terms of the normative distribution of each variable, two fitness tasks were significantly skewed: pull-ups and flex-arm hang time (skewness value of 1.88 and 2.02, respectively). Flex-arm hang time was normalized via a log + 10 transformation. Given that a larger portion of children could not do a single pull-up (44%), this variable was categorized (children who could do a single pull-up or more versus children who could not do a single pull-up). All other variables had normative distributions.

Next, preliminary analyses were conducted to determine any associations between demographic variables, physical fitness, and academic performance. Effect sizes were calculated using Cohen's d. First, multivariate analyses indicated significant gender differences across various fitness variables, (F(6, 54) = 7.34, p < .001, d =.82). Specifically, boys performed significantly more situps (F(1, 59) = 8.63, p < .01, d = .15), and had faster shuttle times (F(1, 59) = 19.07, p < .001, d = .32), and mile times (F(1, 59) = 6.97, p < .05, d = .12) than girls. While girls were more flexible than boys, (F(1, 59) = 7.40,p < .01, d = .12). No other significant associations were found among demographic variables and any of the study's outcomes. Due to these significant sex differences, the primary analyses were conducted separately for boys and girls.

Data reduction and fitness factor. Academic reading and math scores were combined into a single academic performance variable due to their high correlation, r = .69, p < .001. In addition, the current study used 6 indicator variables to measure children's fitnessmile time in minutes and seconds, shuttle time to the nearest tenth of a second, number of curl-ups, ability to do a pull-up, flex-arm hang time in seconds, and flexibility (Vstretch). Hence, a principal component factor analysis was first conducted to determine if these 6 indicator variables loaded into a single fitness factor. From this analysis, 2 factors emerged (i.e. Fitness factor 1 and fitness factor 2) with an eigenvalue above 1 ( $\lambda = 1.05$ ) and these factors explained 63.51 percent of the total variance across measures for this sample. As seen in Table 1, all fitness tests, other than flexibility, had high loadings (>.60) on the first factor while the second factor was only comprised of flexibility. Consequently, all fitness scores, except flexibility, were standardized and combined, by averaging their total scores (reverse scoring was used for mile and shuttle times), into a single continuous fitness factor. This fitness factor, with higher scores indicating better fitness, was used in subsequent analyses. Fitness and pediatric obesity. It was also

important to determine whether physical fitness levels differed according to weight groups. Accordingly, a univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to determine whether physical fitness levels differentiated children classified as obese, overweight/at-risk, and normal weight. Gender and grade were controlled, given their earlier relations to fitness. This analysis revealed a significant main effect for the weight groups (F(2, 111) =32.69, p < .001, partial eta-squared = .37), on fitness. As seen in Table 2, follow-up contrast tests with Bonferroni correction indicated that children classified as obese had significantly lower fitness levels (M = -.90, SE = .15) compared to both children classified as overweight/at-risk (M = -.26, SE = .15) and children classified as normal weight (M = .35, SE = .07), p<.01 and p<.001, respectively. Children classified as overweight/at-risk also had significantly lower fitness levels than children classified as normal weight, p<.001.

Associations between BMI, fitness, and academic performance. Correlational analyses were conducted to determine whether fitness level and BMI were associated with academic performance. Separate analyses were conducted for each grade level as well as for boys and girls. As seen in Table 3, these analyses revealed a significant positive association between fitness and academic performance, but only for girls in 5<sup>th</sup> grade.

Finally, a regression analysis (see Table 4) was conducted to determine whether for 5<sup>th</sup> grade girls, both BMI and fitness were uniquely associated with academic performance or if one variable was more important in this association. This analysis revealed an overall effect of BMI and fitness predicting academic performance, (F(2, 24) =5.58, p < .01, total  $R^2 = .32$ , d = .47). However, only BMI marginally predicted academic performance,  $\beta = -.40$ , p<.08. Thus, 5<sup>th</sup>grade girls with higher BMI levels had worse academic performance. Fitness ( $\beta = .21, p = .34$ ) no longer significantly predicted academic performance when BMI was in the model.

Fitness test	Fitness factor #1	Fitness factor #2
Mile Run Time	70	.35
Shuttle Time	76	.28
Sit-ups	.76	25
Pull-ups	.71	.27
Flex-arm hang Time	.68	.23
Stretch	.37	.81

Table 1Factor loadings from the principal component factor analysis

Demographic characteristics, fitness levels, & academic scores according to weight group

	Normal Weight (n=82)	At-risk for overweight/overweight (n=19)	Obese (n=20)
 Demographics			
Gender			
Male	46	9	12
Female	41	12	13
Race			
Caucasian	41	11	9
Minority	46	10	16
Age	10.6 (.77)	10.6 (.65)	10.7 (.74)
BMI	17.48 (.19)	21.70 (.39)	27.23 (.38)
Fitness Measures			
Mile run time (seconds) Shuttle time (seconds)	633.6(135.9) 11.34 (.88)	718.8 (146.5) 11.94 (.87)	872.6 (207.1) 12.66 (1.30)
Sit-ups	34.98 (10.0)	31.1 (8.4)	26.6 (11.0)
Pull-ups	3.05 (3.64)	.21 (.58)	.12 (.33)
Flex-arm hang time (seconds)	21.08 (17.01)	5.53 (6.32)	3.41 (7.09)
Stretch	1.12 (3.96)	.10 (4.0)	77 (3.01)
Academic Measures			
Reading (FCAT)	1784.3 (220.9)	1763.1 (275.6)	1638.8 (277.6)
Math (FCAT)	1716.0 (213.2)	1683.8 (210.5)	1611.8 (203.0)

	BMI	Fitness Composite	Academic Performance
4 <sup>th</sup> Grade			
1. BMI	-	64***	15
2. Fitness Composite	64***	-	02
3. Academic Performance	.13	.07	-
5 <sup>th</sup> Grade			
1. BMI	-	63***	59**
2. Fitness Composite	50**	-	.42*
3. Academic Performance	15	.17	-

Table 3					
Associations between B	MI. Fitness	and Academic	Performance	Across Grou	ır

Note: Values above the diagonal are for girls; values below the diagonal are for boys. \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001.

Table 4

Regression Analyses

Grade and Gender	β	F	$\mathbf{R}^2$	Standard Error
4 <sup>th</sup> Grade Female (n=32)	Fitness16 BMI25	.54	.04	169.91325
4 <sup>th</sup> Grade Male (n=34)	Fitness .26 BMI .29	.92	.06	202.966655
5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Female (n=33)	Fitness .21 BMI40	5.58**	.32	169.83254
5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Male (n=33)	Fitness03 BMI22	.54	.04	161.73034

+p<.08, \*p <.05, \*\*p <.01

#### Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between BMI, physical fitness, and academic performance in 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> grade children. Specifically, the researchers sought to identify the relative contribution of BMI and physical fitness as correlates of academic performance for boys and girls. Secondarily, the researchers sought to determine the viability of a single fitness composite measure in terms of muscular strength, muscular endurance, aerobic capacity, and flexibility. It was hypothesized that higher BMI and lower physical fitness would be associated with poor academic performance across grade level and gender. Consistent with recent research (Chomitz et al., 2009; Castelli et al., 2007) results indicate a significant negative association between BMI and physical fitness across grade and gender with the effect size falling in the moderate range. The difference for males and females on sit-ups, the mile run, and flexibility was small, while the difference for males and females on the shuttle run was moderate. Furthermore, the difference for males and females across weight groups was moderate. Somewhat consistent with previous research (Knudson, Magnusson, & McHugh, 2000) the results indicate that flexibility did not load highly with other physical fitness variables. Thus, it is questionable whether flexibility should be considered a relevant indicator of physical fitness in children since its relationship with other measures of physical fitness is exceptionally weak.

Another key finding of this study was that BMI was moderately correlated with academic performance only in 5<sup>th</sup> grade girls. The finding that girls may be more impacted academically by being overweight is consistent with research from Datar and Strum (2006) who found significant associations across grades and gender. Specifically, these researchers found that moving from notoverweight to overweight across a four year span was significantly correlated with reductions in academic tests scores for girls in elementary school; however, this link was mostly absent for boys. While social-emotional functioning was not measured in the current study, it is possible that this variable could help explain why 5<sup>th</sup> grade girls appeared more adversely impacted academically by being overweight compared to other groups. For example, Faulkner and colleagues (2001) found that overweight adolescent females were more likely to report serious emotional problems and lower levels of self-efficacy than their normal weight peers. In addition, obese girls were about twice as likely to report being held back a grade and to consider themselves poor students. These patterns were not found in obese boys. It is possible that similar socialemotional issues existed in the sample of 5<sup>th</sup> grade girls included in the current study. Perhaps, the overweight 4<sup>th</sup> grade girls were just one-year away from encountering a similar fate as body image issues and related problems tend to emerge as children get older.

#### Implications

The data herein highlight the need for researchers to take a closer look at both individual and subgroup differences in the manifestation of poor academic performance associated with high BMI and low physical fitness. It seems that certain groups of children may be less susceptible to experiencing academic decline due to being overweight. For example, in this study overweight and physically unfit 4<sup>th</sup> grade students and 5<sup>th</sup> grade boys appeared impervious to declines in academic performance. This possibility should not be viewed as justification to devalue the importance of exercising as research indicates that regular exercise improves children's quality of life (Shoup, Gattshall, Dandamudi, & Estabrooks, 2008). However, data from the current study should caution readers to recognize that not all children will exhibit the same academic performance consequences due to being overweight and physically unfit.

#### Limitations

Several cautionary statements are warranted to dissuade readers from bypassing the limitations of this study. In addition there are unique characteristics of this study that should be considered when interpreting the results. First, the participants in this study attended the same school; thus, the population may be more homogenous than other groups included in similar investigations (e.g. Chomitz et al., 2009; Castelli et al., 2007; Grissom, 2005). Second, participants represented a narrow age range further limiting the generalizability of the findings. Third, the school that the participants attended may have better than average academic instruction and greater resources (e.g. teachers with terminal degrees, graduate student aides, interns) because it is a laboratory school affiliated with a large research oriented university. These resources could have helped prevent low achievement in at-risk children. Fourth, the school has an effectively implemented response-to-intervention (RTI) program which may meet the academic and socialemotional needs of at-risk students who would otherwise perform poorly on standardized academic achievement RTI involves screening youth for problems, tests. intervening early for at-risk youth, and monitoring students' response to the intervention as well as their general educational performance (Wodrich, Spencer, & Daely, 2006). Fifth, the students in this study attended a choice school which requires parents to autonomously apply for their child to attend. Generally, more assertive, concerned, and involved parents engage in this application process. Together, these characteristics may function as protective factors against negative academic outcomes. Another limitation of this study has to do with possible flaws in data collection. Although the PE teacher reportedly followed The President's Challenge guidelines, the researchers were not present to confirm this.

#### Conclusion

In view of the demands placed on schools to who meet academic standards, produce students understanding the relationship between weight status, physical fitness, and academic performance is crucial for quantifying the degree to which specific components of health affect learning and academic performance. Despite the limitations, the current study provides evidence that BMI and fitness were correlated with the academic performance for 5<sup>th</sup> grade girls. However, it will be important for future research to provide a more causal understanding of the relationship between BMI, physical fitness, and academic performance. As cross-sectional data, these results do not indicate causality. Based on this, these data do not infer that healthier BMI and higher fitness caused higher academic performance for 5<sup>th</sup> grade girls. Future research should examine children's social-emotional well-being to shed light on whether that aspect of a child's functioning mediates the relationship between BMI and academic performance. Finally, future research also should explore whether (and the extent to which) factors such as parental involvement, classroom instructional practices, and academic intervention programs (e.g. RTI) help overweight and physically unfit children become impervious to drops in academic performance.

#### References

- American Academy of Pediatrics (1996). Puberty Information for Girls. Retrieved February 21, 2010 from http://www.aap.org/pubserv/patedcd/ demo/pdffiles/papers/HE020801.pdf.
- Barker, L. E., Kirtland, K. A., Gregg, E. W., Geiss, L. S., & Thompson, T. J. (2011). Geographic distribution of diagnosed diabetes in the U.S.: A diabetes belt. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 40(4), 434-439.
- Casteli, D.M., Hillman, C.H., Buck, S.M., & Erwin, H.E. (2007). Physical fitness and academic achievement in third- and fifth-grade students. *Journal of Sports and Exercise Psychology*, 29, 239-252.
- Chomitz, V.R., Slining, M.M., McGowan, R.J., Mitchell, S.E., Dawson, G.F., & Hacker, K.A. (2009). Is there a relationship between physical fitness and academic achievement? Positive results from public school children in the northeastern United States. *Journal of School Health*, *79*, 30-37. doi:10.1111/j.1746-1561.2008.00371.x
- Cushner, K., McClelland, A., & Stafford, P. (2009). Human diversity in education: An integrative approach 6<sup>th</sup> Edition. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Datar, A., & Strum, R. (2006). Childhood overweight and elementary school outcomes. *International Journal of Obesity, 30,* 1449-1460. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0803311

- Falkner, N.H., Neumark-Sztainer, D., Story, M., Jeffery, Beuhring, T., & Resnick, M.D. (2001). Social, educational, and psychological correlates of weight status in adolescents. *Obesity Research*, 9, 32-42.
- Fleshner M. (2000). Exercise and neuroendocrine regulation of antibody production: protective effect of physical activity on stress-induced suppression of the specific antibody response. *International Journal Sports Medicine, 21*, 4-19. doi:10.1055/s-2000-1454
- Flippo, R.A., & Caverly, D.C. (2009). Handbook of College Reading and Study Strategy Research 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Routledge: New York, NY.
- Forbes, E.E., Williamson, D.E., Ryan, N.D., & Dahl, R.E. (2004). Positive and negative affect in depression: Influence of sex and puberty. Annals of New York Academy of Sciences, 1021, 341-347. doi:10.1196/annals.1308.042.
- Grissom, J.B. (2005). Physical fitness and academic achievement. *Journal of Exercise Physiology Online*, *8*, 11-25.
- Hodge, V., & Austin, J. (2004). A Survey of Outlier Detection Methodologies, 22, 85-126. Artificial Intelligence Review, 22, 85-126. DOI: 10.1023/ B:AIRE.0000045502.10941.a9
- Kaestner, R., & Grossman, M. (2009). Effects of weight on children's educational achievement. *Economics of Education Review*, 28, 651-661. <u>doi:10.1016/</u> j.econedurev.2009.03.002
- Kann, L., Brener, N.D., & Wechsler, H. (2006). Overview and Summary: School Health Policies and Programs Study 2006. *Journal of School Health*, 77, 385-397. doi:10.1111/j.1746-1561.2007.00226.x
- Killman, C., & Barfield, J.P. (2008). Battery reliability of the president's challenge, Poster Session: Research on Teaching and Instruction in Schools and Higher Education. The Preliminary Program for 2008 AAHPERD National Convention and Exposition (April 8-12, 2008).
- Knudson, D.V., Magnusson, P., & McHugh, M. (2000). Current issues in flexibility fitness. President's Council on Physical Fitness and Sports Research Digest, 3, 1-8.
- Morgan WP. (1994). Physical activity, fitness, and depression. In: Bouchard C, Shephard RJ, Stephens T, eds. Physical Activity, Fitness, and Health: International Proceedings and Consensus Statement. Champaign, Ill: Human Kinetics Publishers; 851-867.
- Myers, J., Kaykha, A., George, S., Abella, J., Zaheer, N., Lear, S., Yamazaki, T., & Froelicher, V. (2004). Fitness versus physical activity patterns in predicting morality in men. *The American Journal* of Medicine, 117, 912-918. <u>doi:10.1016/</u> j.amjmed.2004.06.047

- National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (2010). School Health Programs: Improving the Health of Our Nation's Youth. Available at: <u>http://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/</u> <u>resources/publications/aag/pdf/2010/dash-2010.pdf</u>. Accessed December 7, 2010.
- Ogden, C.L., Carroll, M.D., Curtin, L.R., McDowell, M.A., Tabak, C.J., & Flegal, K.M. (2006). Prevalence of overweight and obesity in the United States, 1999-2004. *Journal of the American Medical Association, 13*, 1549-1555.
- Pearce, M.J., Boergers, J., & Prinstein, M.J. (2002). Adolescent obesity, overt, and relational peer victimization, and romantic relationships. *Obesity Research, 10,* 386-393.
- Rentner, D.S., Scott, C., & Kober, N. From the Capital to the Classroom: Year 4 of No Child Left Behind. Washington, DC: Center for Educational Policy: 2006. Available at: <u>http://www.cepdc.org/nclb</u>. Accessed April 12, 2010
- Shoup, J., Gattshall, M., Dandamudi, P., & Estabrooks, P.

(2008). Physical activity, quality of life, and weight status in overweight children. *Quality of Life Research*, 17, 407-412. DOI 10.1007/s11136-008-9312-y.

- Studenski, S., Carlson M.C., Fillit H., Greenough W.T., Kramer A., & Rebok G.W. (2006). Frombedside to bench: Does mental and physical activity promote cognitive vitality in late life? *Science of Aging Knowledge Environment*, 28, (10):pe21. DOI: 10.1126/sageke.2006.10.pe21
- Taras, H. (2005) Physical activity and student performance at school. *Journal of School Health*, 75, 214-218.
- Tremblay, M.S., Inman, J.W., & Willms, J.D. (2000). The relationship between physical activity, self-esteem, and academic achievement in 12-year-old children. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, *12*, 312-323.
- Wodrich, D.L., Spencer, M.L.S., & Daley, K.B. (2006). Combining RTI and psychoeducational assessment: What we must assume to do otherwise. *Psychology in the Schools, 43, 796-*806. DOI: 101.1002/pits.

#### **Article Citation**

Wingfield, R. J., Graziano, P. A., McNamara, J., & Janicke, D. M. (2011). Is there a relationship between body mass index, fitness, and academic performance? Mixed results from students in a southeastern united states elementary School. *Current Issues in Education*, 14(2). Retrieved [date], from http://cie.asu.edu/ojs/index.php/cieatasu/article/view/727

#### **Author Notes**

Robert J. Wingfield, M.A., NCSP University of Florida Norman Hall PO Box 117050 Gainesville, FL 32611-7050 robertjoshua21@ufl.edu

Robert J. Wingfield is an advanced doctoral student in the School Psychology Program at the University of Florida. His main research interest focuses on the role of parents and schools in preventing childhood obesity, with a primary focus on students enrolled in grades k-8.

Paulo A. Graziano, PhD. Center for Children and Families Florida International University 11200 S.W. 8<sup>th</sup> Street, AHC1 235A Miami, FL 33199 Email: <u>pgrazian@fiu.edu</u>

Dr. Paulo A. Graziano is a Postdoctoral Fellow at the Center of Children and Families at Florida International University (FIU). Dr. Graziano has extensive child specialty clinical training in the area of behavioral treatments for young children with externalizing disorders and pediatric obesity. His research expertise lies within the self-regulation domain and how it relates to the development of child psychopathology (ADHD), social competence, academic readiness, and health outcomes including pediatric obesity. His research also examines how environmental factors, in particular treatment interventions via parenting, can improve children's self-regulation skills and subsequent adaptive and health functioning.

Joe McNamara, Ph.D. Assistant Professor University of Florida College of Medicine PO Box 100234 Gainesville, FL 32610 jpm2@ufl.edu

Joseph P. H. McNamara is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Psychiatry at the University of Florida. His main research interest focuses on the interaction of factors that impact treatment adherence in both children and adults.

David M. Janicke, Ph.D. Associate Professor 101 S. Newell Dr. #3151 Department of Clinical and Health Psychology University of Florida, djanicke@phhp.ufl.edu

David Janicke is an Associate Professor in the Department of Clinical and Health Psychology at the University of Florida. His main research interest is translational research intended to promote the dissemination of obesity interventions for individuals in underserved settings, with a primary focus on children.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Andrea Zazo and Willie Powers for their data collection efforts.

Current Issues in Education Vol. 14 No.2



# **Current Issues in Education**

Mary Lou Fulton Teachers College • Arizona State University PO Box 37100, Phoenix, AZ 85069, USA

Volume 14, Number 2

June 4, 2011

ISSN 1099-839X

Authors hold the copyright to articles published in *Current Issues in Education*. Requests to reprint *CIE* articles in other journals should be addressed to the author. Reprints should credit *CIE* as the original publisher and include the URL of the *CIE* publication. Permission is hereby granted to copy any article, provided *CIE* is credited and copies are not sold.



# **Editorial Team**

Executive Editor Lori Ellingford

Assistant Executive Editors Krista Adams

Melinda Hollis

Layout Editor Elizabeth Reyes

Meg Burke Elizabeth Frias Ayfer Gokalp Anglea Hines Younsu Kim Recruitment Editor Rory Schmitt

# Section Editors

Seong Hee Kim Lisa Lacy Angeles Maldonado Carol Masser Tapati Sen Jennifer Shea

# Faculty Advisors

Dr. Gustavo Fischman Dr. Jeanne Powers Copy Editor/Proofreader Lucinda Watson

> Kara Sujansky Alaya Swann Melissa Tarango Andrew Tesoro Jill Wendt